340th anniversary of Ole Rømer's determination of the speed of light.







The Development and Growth of Density Fluctuations

The basic problem

How to get from here:







to here:



Present-day densities

Mean density of Universe:

$$\rho_0 \approx 0.3 \rho_{\rm crit} = 0.3 \frac{3H_0^2}{8\pi G} \approx 3 \times 10^{-27} \,\rm kg \, m^{-3}$$

Mean density of galaxy clusters:

$$\rho \approx \left(\frac{10^{15} M_{\odot}}{\frac{4}{3}\pi (1 \,\mathrm{Mpc}^3)}\right) \approx 2 \times 10^{-23} \,\mathrm{kg} \,\mathrm{m}^{-3} \quad \approx 5000 \,\rho_0$$

Mean density of galaxies:

$$\rho_{\rm MW} \approx \left(\frac{4 \times 10^{11} M_{\odot}}{\frac{4}{3} \pi (20 \, \rm kpc^3)}\right) \approx 10^{-21} \, \rm kg \, m^{-3} \quad \approx 3 \times 10^5 \, \rho_0$$

Present-day densities

Present-day overdensities of galaxies and galaxy clusters are

$$\Delta = \delta \rho / \rho_0 \approx 10^3 \qquad \text{(clusters)}$$
$$\Delta = \delta \rho / \rho_0 \approx 10^5 \qquad \text{(galaxies)}$$

Mean density of Universe:

$$\rho \propto \rho_0 (1+z)^3$$

As virialized objects, galaxies and clusters must have segregated out *after* $z\sim50$ and $z\sim10$, respectively.

Accessible to observations! (at least in principle).

Density contrast small ($\Delta \ll I$) at higher redshifts - linear regime!

Growth of perturbations

- Important distinction between Dark and Baryonic matter.
- DM: Only gravity relatively "easy", especially at early epochs when fluctuations are still small
- Baryonic matter: Complicated! not just gravity, but also dissipational processes, feedback, heating/cooling, etc.

Fate of overdense regions

Static case (e.g. molecular cloud): overdensity collapses on a free-fall time scale, (1/2)

$$t_{\rm ff} = \left(\frac{3\pi}{32\,G\,\rho}\right)^{1/2}$$

Expanding Universe:

Think of over-dense regions as "mini-Universes" of slightly higher density than Ω_0 in a critical (Einstein-de Sitter) Universe.

"Background" scale factor (for $\Omega = I$)

$$a(t) = \left(\frac{3H_0t}{2}\right)^{2/3}$$

Overdense region with $\Omega' > I$ will eventually reach maximum a'(t) and then re-collapse.



Fate of overdense regions

"Background" scale factor:

$$a(t) = \left(\frac{3H_0t}{2}\right)^{2/3}$$

Evolution of over-dense region:

Consider the parametric solutions to the Friedmann equation for $\Omega_{\Lambda}=0$ and $\Omega_{0} > 1$ (assignment):

$$a(\theta) = \frac{\Omega_0}{2(\Omega_0 - 1)} (1 - \cos \theta)$$
$$t(\theta) = \frac{\Omega_0}{2H_0(\Omega_0 - 1)^{3/2}} (\theta - \sin \theta)$$



Fate of over-dense regions $a(\theta) = \frac{\Omega_0}{2(\Omega_0 - 1)}(1 - \cos \theta)$

$$t(\theta) = \frac{\Omega_0}{2H_0(\Omega_0 - 1)^{3/2}}(\theta - \sin\theta)$$

To find approximate relation for a(t), Taylor-expand the expressions for $a(\theta)$ and $t(\theta)$ around $\theta=0$:

$$\cos\theta \approx 1 + \frac{\mathrm{d}\cos\theta}{\mathrm{d}\theta}\theta + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}^2\cos\theta}{\mathrm{d}\theta^2}\theta^2 + \frac{1}{6}\frac{\mathrm{d}^3\cos\theta}{\mathrm{d}\theta^3}\theta^3 + \dots$$
$$\approx 1 - \frac{1}{2}\theta^2 + \frac{1}{24}\theta^4$$
$$\sin\theta \approx \theta - \frac{1}{6}\theta^3$$

Fate of overdense regions

Solving for the scale factor a'(t) of the perturbation, we get:

$$a' \simeq (\Omega_0')^{1/3} \left(\frac{3H_0t}{2}\right)^{2/3} \left[1 - \frac{1}{12} \left(\frac{12tH_0(\Omega_0' - 1)^{3/2}}{\Omega_0'}\right)^{2/3}\right]$$
$$\Omega_0' \approx \Omega_0 \quad a(t) \qquad \qquad \text{Growth of perturbation}$$

Fate of overdense regions
$$a' \simeq (\Omega'_0)^{1/3} \left(\frac{3H_0t}{2}\right)^{2/3} \left[1 - \frac{1}{12} \left(\frac{12tH_0(\Omega'_0 - 1)^{3/2}}{\Omega'_0}\right)^{2/3}\right]$$

The density of the fluctuation is then

$$\rho' = \rho'_0(a')^{-3}$$

Using $(I-\delta)^{-3} \approx I+3\delta$ for $\delta \ll I$:

$$\rho' \simeq \rho_0' / \Omega_0' a^{-3} \left[1 + \frac{3}{12} \left(\frac{12tH_0(\Omega_0' - 1)^{3/2}}{\Omega_0'} \right)^{2/3} \right]$$

$$\rho' \simeq \rho_0 a^{-3} \left[1 + a \left(\frac{\Omega'_0 - 1}{(\Omega'_0)^{2/3}} \right) \right]$$

Fate of overdense regions

$$\rho' \simeq \rho_0 a^{-3} \left[1 + a \left(\frac{\Omega'_0 - 1}{(\Omega'_0)^{2/3}} \right) \right]$$

The density contrast then grows as

$$\Delta = \frac{\delta\rho}{\rho} = \frac{\rho' - \rho}{\rho} \simeq \frac{\rho_0 a^{-3} \left[1 + a \left(\frac{\Omega'_0 - 1}{(\Omega'_0)^{2/3}} \right) \right] - \rho_0 a^{-3}}{\rho_0 a^{-3}}$$

$$\Delta = a \left(\frac{\Omega'_0 - 1}{(\Omega'_0)^{2/3}} \right)$$

Key Point:

Small density contrasts Δ grow slowly with a (approximately linearly):

$$\Delta = \left(\frac{\Omega_0' - 1}{(\Omega_0')^{2/3}}\right) a$$

Derived here for the special case of an Einsteinde Sitter Universe, but (qualitatively) true generally.

Growth of small fluctuations

More general analysis (still assuming no pressure):

$$\Delta(a) = \frac{5\Omega_0}{2} \left(\frac{1}{a}\frac{\mathrm{d}a}{\mathrm{d}t}\right) \int_0^a \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}a'}{\mathrm{d}t}\right)^{-3} \mathrm{d}a'$$

Heath (1977); Carroll et al. (1992)



The linear regime

- Fluctuations that are now in the non-linear regime (Δ≥I) must already have had significant amplitudes at recombination.
- At z=1000, present-day virialized structures (galaxies, clusters) must have corresponded to $\Delta \gtrsim 10^{-3}$ much larger than the fluctuations seen in the *baryonic* matter in the CMB (~ 10⁻⁵)!

When did virialized structures form?

- "Top-hat" model: Consider collapse of spherically symmetric over-densities.
- Initially: evolve as "mini-Universes" with higher than critical density
- At some time t_{max} these reach maximum scale factor a_{max} , and then recollapse

Top-hat model



The top-hat model

Follow the evolution of a "mini-Universe" with $\Omega_0 > I$:

$$a(\theta) = \frac{\Omega_0'}{2(\Omega_0' - 1)}(1 - \cos \theta)$$

$$t(\theta) = \frac{\Omega'_0}{2H_0(\Omega'_0 - 1)^{3/2}} (\theta - \sin \theta)$$

Will reach maximum scale factor a_{max} for $\theta = \pi$, and recollapse for $\theta = 2\pi$. At the "turn-around" point (cos $\theta = -1$),

$$a_{\max} = \frac{\Omega'_0}{\Omega'_0 - 1} \qquad t_{\max} = \frac{\pi \Omega'_0}{2H_0(\Omega'_0 - 1)^{3/2}}$$

Top-hat model

At the "turn-around" point,

$$a_{\max} = \frac{\Omega'_0}{\Omega'_0 - 1} \qquad t_{\max} = \frac{\pi \Omega'_0}{2H_0(\Omega'_0 - 1)^{3/2}}$$

while the background scale factor is

$$a = \left(\frac{3H_0 t_{\max}}{2}\right)^{2/3}$$

The density contrast is then

$$\frac{\rho_{\max}}{\rho_0} = \Omega_0' \left(\frac{a}{a_{\max}}\right)^3 = \Omega_0' \left\{\frac{\left(\frac{3H_0}{2} \frac{\pi \Omega_0'}{2H_0(\Omega_0'-1)^{3/2}}\right)}{\frac{\Omega_0'}{\Omega_0'-1}}\right\}^3$$
$$= 9\pi^2/16 \approx 5.55$$



Top-hat model cont'd

By the time the perturbation has decoupled completely (expansion halted), it already has $\Delta \approx 5$.

"Total recollapse" occurs at

$$t_{\rm coll} = 2 t_{\rm max}$$

In terms of redshift,

$$\frac{1+z_{\max}}{1+z_{\text{coll}}} = \frac{a_{\max}}{a_{\text{coll}}} = \left(\frac{t_{\text{coll}}}{t_{\max}}\right)^{2/3}$$
$$1+z_{\text{coll}} = \frac{1+z_{\max}}{2^{2/3}}$$

E.g, for $z_{coll}=0$ (now), we get $z_{max}\sim 0.6$

The collapse

Overdensity reaches virial equilibrium on "violent relaxation" time scale:

$$T_r \approx \frac{3P}{8\pi}$$

where P is roughly the crossing time of the system (Lynden-Bell 1967).

Applies to systems that are initially far from equilibrium configuration.

Solution to "Zwicky's paradox" (Zwicky 1939): Two-body relaxation time scales of galaxy clusters are of order 10¹⁸ years, why do they appear as symmetric as they do?





The collapse

At point of maximum expansion, t_{max} :

Perturbation of mass M has some radius r_{max} .

Potential energy:

$$U = -\frac{3}{5} \frac{GM^2}{r_{\rm max}}$$

Kinetic energy at this point:

T = 0

 $U = U(r_{\max})$ T = 0



The collapse

Virial equilibrium is reached when

$$T = -\frac{1}{2}U$$

By energy conservation,

$$T(r_{\rm vir}) = U(r_{\rm max}) - U(r_{\rm vir})$$

that is,

$$U(r_{\max}) = \frac{1}{2}U(r_{\text{vir}})$$
$$-\frac{3}{5}\frac{GM^2}{r_{\max}} = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{3}{5}\frac{GM^2}{r_{\text{vir}}}$$
$$r_{\text{vir}} = \frac{1}{2}r_{\max}$$

Maximum expansion:

$$T = 0 \quad U = U(r_{\max})$$





Virial equilibrium is reached when perturbation has contracted to half its maximum size and 8x the minimum density.



 $\rho_{\rm vir}/\rho_0 \approx 150$

Collapse of structure

Key point:

Structures became virialised once their densities reached >100 times the background density

When did structures become virialised?

Individual galaxies:

Today, $\Delta \approx 10^6$ Epoch when $\rho_{\rm gal} \approx 10^2 < \rho >$ $\rho/\rho_0 \approx 10^4 \rightarrow (1+z) \approx 20$

Galaxy clusters

Today, $\Delta \approx 10^3$

 $\rho/\rho_0 \approx 10 \to (1+z) \approx 2$

We expect galaxy clusters to have become virialised relatively recently (some are not yet fully virialised).

The mass function of bound structures





- Investigated by Press & Schechter (1974)
- Basic assumptions:
 - Density spectrum is initially Gaussian
 - Perturbations initially grow linearly, then collapse rapidly when they exceed some threshold amplitude Δ_c .
 - Growth is *hierarchical*: Small perturbations can be part of larger ones

Suppose the matter consists of randomly distributed particles.

For average volume number density n(m) and particle mass m the variance on the mass in a unit volume is

$$\sigma^2 = \int_0^\infty m^2 n(m) \mathrm{d}m$$

For fluctuations occupying a volume V the variance is

$$\Sigma_V^2 = V\sigma^2$$

Normalized to the mass in the volume:

$$\Sigma_V / M(V) = \frac{\sigma \sqrt{V}}{\rho V} = \frac{\sigma}{\rho \sqrt{V}}$$

Normalised to the mass in the volume:

$$\Sigma_V/M(V) = \frac{\sigma\sqrt{V}}{\rho V} = \frac{\sigma}{\rho\sqrt{V}}$$

The relative fluctuations in M within volume V:

$$\Delta \equiv \frac{\Sigma_V}{\langle M(V) \rangle} = \frac{M(V) - \langle M(V) \rangle}{\langle M(V) \rangle}$$

are normally distributed with standard deviation

$$\Delta_{\star} = \sigma / (\rho \sqrt{V})$$

That is, the probability distribution of over-densities Δ within V is

$$p(\Delta, V) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\Delta_{\star}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\Delta^2}{\Delta_{\star}^2}\right)$$

Probability distribution of overdensities Δ :

$$p(\Delta, V) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\Delta_{\star}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\Delta^2}{\Delta_{\star}^2}\right)$$

Next: Probability distribution of bound overdensities.

Assume

- Fluctuations with $\Delta > \Delta_{crit}$ at some scale factor a_2 are bound
- Growth is linear: $\Delta(a_2) = (a_2/a_1) \Delta(a_1)$ for scale factors a_1 and a_2 .

Fluctuations that are critical at a_2 then had an "initial" density contrast

$$\Delta_1 = \frac{a_1}{a_2} \Delta_{\rm crit}$$

Probability that a volume contains a bound fluctuation by a_2 is then

$$P_{\text{bound}} = \int_{\Delta=\Delta_1}^{\infty} p(\Delta, V) \, \mathrm{d}\Delta$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\Delta_{\star}} \int_{\Delta=\Delta_1}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\Delta^2}{\Delta_{\star}^2}\right) \, \mathrm{d}\Delta$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{\Delta_{\operatorname{crit}}a_1}{\sqrt{2}\Delta_{\star}a_2}\right)$$

Inserting $\Delta_{\star} = \sigma/(\rho_1 \sqrt{V})$ we then have $P_{\text{bound}}(V) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{erfc} \left(\frac{\Delta_{\operatorname{crit}} \rho_1 \sqrt{V} a_1}{\sqrt{2} \sigma a_2} \right)$



Press-Schechter theory

$$P_{\text{bound}}(V) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{erfc} \left(\frac{\Delta_{\operatorname{crit}} \rho_1 \sqrt{V} a_1}{\sqrt{2} \sigma a_2} \right)$$

Since fluctuations are small initially, $M\approx\rho_{1}V$ so

$$P_{\text{bound}}(M) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{\Delta_{\text{crit}}\sqrt{M\rho_1}a_1}{\sqrt{2\sigma a_2}}\right)$$

This is the fraction of fluctuations with mass M that have collapsed by a_2 .

Some of these will be part of larger collapsed volumes. The fraction of *independent* collapsed fluctuations is therefore

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}P_{\text{bound}}}{\mathrm{d}M} = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}M}\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{erfc}\left(\frac{\Delta_{\text{crit}}\sqrt{M\rho_1}}{\sqrt{2}\sigma}\frac{a_1}{a_2}\right)$$

The fraction of independent collapsed fluctuations is

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}P_{\text{bound}}}{\mathrm{d}M} = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}M} \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{\Delta_{\text{crit}}\sqrt{M\rho_1}}{\sqrt{2\sigma}}\frac{a_1}{a_2}\right)$$
$$= -\frac{2^{-3/2}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\Delta_{\text{crit}}\sqrt{\rho_1}}{\sigma} \frac{a_1}{a_2} M^{-1/2} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Delta_{\text{crit}}^2\rho_1}{\sigma^2} \left(\frac{a_1}{a_2}\right)^2 M\right]$$

Since fluctuations of mass M (initially) occupied a volume $V=M/\rho_I$, the number density is

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}N}{\mathrm{d}M} = \rho_1 M^{-1} \frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}M}$$

which is of the form

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}N}{\mathrm{d}M} \propto M^{-3/2} \exp(-M/M^{\star})$$

with $M^{\star} \propto a^2$

Press-Schechter mass function:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}N}{\mathrm{d}M} \propto M^{-3/2} \exp(-M/M^{\star})$$



Low masses (M<<M*): Power-law shape

High masses (M>M*): Exponential cut-off

M* scales with a^2 .

Binggeli (1987)

Comparison with simulations



Solid curves: Numerical simulation

Dashed curves: Press-Schechter model

Strong evolution in Schechter mass expected!

Generic feature of hierarchical structure formation models.

Somerville et al. (2000)

Comparison with simulations



Springel et al. (2005)

Schechter function for galaxies



FIG. 2.—Best fit of analytic expression to observed composite cluster galaxy luminosity distribution. Filled circles show the effect of including cD galaxies in composite. Assume relation of form

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}N}{\mathrm{d}L} \propto \left(\frac{L}{L^{\star}}\right)^{\alpha} \exp\left(-\frac{L}{L^{\star}}\right)$$

Inspired by the Press-Schechter analysis.

General case: Best fit for $\alpha = -1.24 + -0.19$ $M_B^* = -20.60 + -0.11$

Cluster galaxies: Best fit for $\alpha = -1.24 + - 0.05$ $M_B^* = -21.41 + - 0.10$

But cD galaxies must be excluded! Schechter (1976) In one of the opening volleys of the science wars, Abraham Maslow wrote [13] wrote:

I suppose it is tempting, if the only tool you have is a hammer, to treat everything as if it were a nail.

It's true. My hammer was the power law with an exponential cutoff and I hammered on the luminosity function for galaxies [19].

Schechter (2002)

Problems with Press-Schechter analysis

- Assuming spherical symmetry
- Schechter mass predicted at z=0 is of order 10¹⁵
 M_☉ applicable to galaxy *clusters*.
- The MF for *individual galaxies* also follows a Schechter function, but with much lower M*
- Why the two different characteristic masses?
- Important physics missing: baryons (dissipation and feedback).

Going further

- Major simplification in the top-hat model and Press-Schechter formalism: spherical symmetry
- Better: treat perturbations as tri-axial ellipses
- Tri-axial perturbations collapse across the shortest axis first and form pancakes and filaments (Zeldovich 1970)
- Most general approach: follow evolution with numerical N-body simulations

Example N-body sim.

- "Millennium" simulation, Springel et al. (2005):
- Simulation started at z=127 with a random realization of fluctuation power-spectrum from CMBFAST code
- Cosmological parameters: $\Omega_0 = 0.25, \Omega_B=0.045, \Omega_{\Lambda}=0.75, H_0=73 \text{ km/s/Mpc}$
- Simulation volume (685 Mpc)³, 10¹⁰ particles (each individual particle has M ~ 10⁹ M_☉)







z=18.3 (0.21 Gyr)

z=5.7 (1.0 Gyr)

z=1.4 (4.7 Gyr)

z=0 (13.6 Gyr)

Z=18.3

31.25 Mpc/h





31.25 Mpc/h



S. Harris

31.25 Mpc/h