### Gravitational lensing

Abell 2218 (Hubble Space Telescope image)

### Brief history of gravitational lensing

• Newton, in "Opticks" (1704):

Do not Bodies act upon Light at a distance, and by their action bend its Rays; and is not this action (cæteris paribus [all else being equal]) strongest at the least distance?

- First calculated in detail in 1804 by J. Soldner: Newtonian calculation predicts a deflection of 0.84" for a light ray close to the edge of the Sun
- General Relativity (Einstein 1915): Deflection twice as large as Newtonian prediction
- Effect first measured by Eddington (1919) during Solar eclipse; measurements agreed with GR prediction
- Gravitational lensing for galaxies discussed by Zwicky (1937)
- First measured in extragalactic context (binary quasar) by Walsh et al. (1979)

# The Schwarzschild solution

The Schwarzschild metric is the spherically symmetric solution to the vacuum field equations:

$$ds^{2} = \left(1 - \frac{r_{s}}{r}\right) dt^{2} - \frac{dr^{2}}{1 - r_{s}/r} - r^{2}(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2})$$

$$r_s = \frac{2GM}{c^2}$$
 = Schwarzschild radius

For a path in the "(x,y)" plane, we have  $\theta = \pi/2$  and only (r, $\phi$ ) vary.

Defining  $u=r^{1}$ , projection of null geodesic (ds<sup>2</sup>=0) onto (r, $\phi$ ) plane is given by

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 u}{\mathrm{d}\phi^2} + u = \frac{3GM}{c^2}u^2$$

(see, e.g., Introducing Einstein's Relativity, R. D'Inverno, Oxford Univ. Press, 1992)

# Bending of light



(see, e.g., Introducing Einstein's Relativity, R. D'Inverno, Oxford Univ. Press, 1992)

## Lensing terminology





Wambsganss (1998)





$$\tilde{\alpha}(\xi) = \frac{4GM(\xi)}{c^2} \frac{1}{\xi}$$

If  $\beta=0$  (source, lens and observer perfectly aligned):

$$\theta_E = \tilde{\alpha} \left( \frac{D_{\rm LS}}{D_{\rm S}} \right) = \frac{4GM}{c^2 \xi} \left( \frac{D_{\rm LS}}{D_{\rm S}} \right)$$
$$= \frac{4GM}{c^2 \theta_E D_{\rm L}} \left( \frac{D_{\rm LS}}{D_{\rm S}} \right)$$
$$\theta_E^2 = \frac{4GM}{c^2} \left( \frac{D_{\rm LS}}{D_{\rm S}} \right)$$

 $\overline{c^2} \left( \overline{D_{\rm S} D_{\rm L}} \right)$ 

The image of the background source is an "Einstein ring" with radius  $\theta_{E}$ .

### Einstein ring

$$\theta_E^2 = \frac{4GM}{c^2} \left(\frac{D_{\rm LS}}{D_{\rm S}D_{\rm L}}\right)$$

For stars in Milky Way:  $M \sim M_{\odot}$ ,  $D \sim I \text{ kpc} \rightarrow \theta_E \sim 3 \times 10^{-3} \text{ arcsec}$ 

For galaxy clusters at cosmological distances (z~I)  $M \sim 10^{15} M_{\odot}$ ,  $D \sim 2 \text{ Gpc} \rightarrow \theta_E \sim 60 \text{ arcsec}$ 



#### The gravitational lens JVAS B1938+666

Left: HST/NICMOS greyscale with MERLIN radio contours Right: Colour image of the HST/NICMOS image

Figure 15: Einstein ring 1938+666 (from [72]): The left panel shows the radio map as contour superimposed on the grey scale HST/NiCMOS image; the right panel is a color depiction of the infrard HST/NICMOS image. The diameter of the ring is about 0.95 arcseconds. (Credits: Neal Jackson.)



### The lens equation



$$\theta = \frac{\beta \pm \sqrt{\beta^2 + 4\theta_E^2}}{2}$$



Figure 6: In this false color Hubble Space Telescope image of the double quasar Q0957+561A,B. The two images A (bottom) and B (top) are separated by 6.1 arcseconds. Image B is about 1 arcsecond away from the core of the galaxy, and hence seen "through" the halo of the galaxy. (Credits: E.E. Falco et al. (CASTLE collaboration [47]) and NASA.)

#### Wambsganss 1998



Figure 7: Radio image of Q0957+561 from MERLIN telescope. It clearly shows the two point like images of the quasar core and the jet emanating only from the Northern part. (Credits: N. Jackson, Jodrell Bank.)

# Time delay



Light travel times differ for  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ :

Time delay:



Distances depend on H<sub>0</sub>:  $D_L = f(z_L, H_0)$  $D_S = f(z_S, H_0)$ 

#### Gravitational lensing can constrain H<sub>0</sub>.

Wambsganss (1998)



Figure 8: Optical Lightcurves of images Q0957+561 A and B (top panel: gband; bottom panel: r-band). The blue curve is the one of leading image A, the red one the trailing image B. Note the steep drop that occured in December 1994 in image A and was seen in February 1996 in image B. The light curves are shifted in time by about 417 days relative to each other. (Credits: Tomislav Kundić; see also [79])





# Magnification by lensing



Area of unlensed image: $A_U \propto \beta \, d\beta$ Area of lensed image: $A_L \propto \theta \, d\theta$ Magnification: $\mu = \frac{\theta \, d\theta}{\beta \, d\beta}$ 

$$\begin{split} \beta &= \theta - \theta_E^2 / \theta \\ \mu^{-1} &= \frac{\beta \, \mathrm{d}\beta}{\theta \, \mathrm{d}\theta} = \left( 1 - \left[ \frac{\theta_E}{\theta} \right]^2 \right) \left( 1 + \left[ \frac{\theta_E}{\theta} \right]^2 \right) \\ &= \left( 1 - \left[ \frac{\theta_E}{\theta} \right]^4 \right) \\ \text{Using} \\ \theta &= \frac{\beta \pm \sqrt{\beta^2 + 4\theta_E^2}}{2} \\ \text{this reduces to} \qquad \mu &= \frac{u^2 + 2}{2u\sqrt{u^2 + 4}} \pm \frac{1}{2} \qquad u = \beta / \theta_E \end{split}$$





### Extended deflectors

Why don't we *always* get multiple lensed images?

Consider a light ray grazing the edge of the mass distribution at radius  $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ 

If  $M(\xi)$  is too small, then the deflected light ray does not reach the observer and no multiple images are seen.



### Extended deflectors

Why don't we *always* get multiple lensed images?

Consider a light ray grazing the edge of the mass distribution at radius  $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ 

If  $M(\xi)$  is too small, then the deflected light ray does not reach the observer and no multiple images are seen.

The enclosed mass  $M(\xi)$  must be sufficient to produce an Einstein ring with radius  $\xi/D_L$ 



### Extended deflectors

Einstein radius:

$$\theta_E^2 = \frac{4GM}{c^2} \left(\frac{D_{\rm LS}}{D_{\rm S}D_{\rm L}}\right)$$

Define critical mass surface density



For cosmological distances,

 $\Sigma_{\rm crit} \approx 10^{15} M_{\odot} \,{\rm Mpc}^{-2}$ 

Comparable to that of rich galaxy clusters



Figure 17: Galaxy Cluster CL0024+1654 with multiple images of a blue background galaxy. The original picture and more information can be obtained at [144]. A scientific analysis which includes a reconstruction of the source galaxy can be found in [33]. (Credits: W.N. Colley, E. Turner, J.A. Tyson and NASA.)



Reconstructed images of the source galaxy (Colley et al. 1996)



FIG. 1.—The reconstructed total mass density in CL 0024 is shown as a color-coded mass image. The DM is shown in orange. The mass associated with visible galaxies is shown in blue. The contours are at 0.5, 1, and 1.5 times the critical lensing density (4497  $h d_{0.57}^{-1} M_{\odot} \text{ pc}^{-2}$ ), with heavier contour at the critical lensing density. This image is 336  $h^{-1}$  kpc across. North is up, and east is left.

Tyson, Kochanski, & Dell'Antonio (see 496, L108)

# Weak lensing

- In principle, any line of sight in the Universe is affected by gravitational lensing at some level
- This modifies the brightness, shape and position of distant galaxies
- Effect generally too weak to measure for individual galaxies
- Measurable by averaging over large samples of galaxy images.

# Distortion by lensing



Area of unlensed image: $A_U \propto \beta \, d\beta$ Area of lensed image: $A_L \propto \theta \, d\theta$ Magnification: $\mu = \frac{\theta \, d\theta}{\beta \, d\beta}$ 

$$\beta = \theta - \theta_E^2 / \theta$$
$$\mu^{-1} = \frac{\beta \, \mathrm{d}\beta}{\theta \, \mathrm{d}\theta} = \left(1 - \left[\frac{\theta_E}{\theta}\right]^2\right) \left(1 + \left[\frac{\theta_E}{\theta}\right]^2\right) = \left(1 - \left[\frac{\theta_E}{\theta}\right]^4\right)$$

Distortion of lensed images, for  $\theta > \theta_E$  (weak lensing):

 $\frac{\mathrm{d}\theta}{\mathrm{d}\beta} < 1$  - images get compressed in radial direction

 $\frac{\theta}{\beta} > 1$  - images get enlarged in tangential direction

# Strong and weak lensing

Average measured orientation

#### Mellier 1999, ARA&A 37, 127

True orientation of shear



Simulation of galaxy cluster at z=0.15 and background galaxies at <z>=1

# Quantifying the shear

Define quadrupole moments of light distribution:

$$q_{ij} \equiv \int I_{\rm obs}(\theta) \theta_i \theta_j d^2 \theta$$

For a circularly symmetric source, we have  $q_{xx} = q_{yy} \quad \text{and} \quad q_{xy} = 0$ 

Define parameters  $\varepsilon_1$  and  $\varepsilon_2$ :

$$\epsilon_1 \equiv \frac{q_{xx} - q_{yy}}{q_{xx} + q_{yy}} \qquad \epsilon_2 \equiv \frac{2q_{xy}}{q_{xx} + q_{yy}}$$

These will both be zero for symmetric sources

![](_page_26_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_0.jpeg)

# Weak lensing

- By measuring distortion of numerous background galaxies, one can work backwards and find the spatial distribution of lensing mass
- Statistical technique: distortion must be averaged over large number of background galaxies
- Technically challenging: distortion is small; need very good image quality

### The Bullet Cluster

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

Colliding galaxy clusters at z=0.30

Visible and dark matter (non-collisional) separated from X-ray gas by collision.

Optical image and contours of mass distribution from Weak Lensing

![](_page_29_Picture_5.jpeg)

X-Ray image and contours of mass distribution from Weak Lensing

### Dark matter candidates

- The critical density,  $\rho_{crit} = 10^{-26} \text{ kg m}^{-3}$  (for H<sub>0</sub> = 72 km s<sup>-1</sup> Mpc<sup>-1</sup>) corresponds to about 6 H atoms m<sup>-3</sup> (if DM were baryonic)
- MAssive Compact Halo Objects (MACHOs)?
- Weakly Interacting Massive Particles (WIMPs)?

![](_page_31_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Searching for MACHOs

- Paczynski (1986): "optical depth" of MW Halo to gravitational lensing is about 10<sup>-6</sup>, independent of lens mass
- Out of I million stars, on average one will be magnified by gravitational lensing
- Monitoring of large numbers of stars in nearby galaxies might reveal MACHOs

# Recap: gravitational lensing

Einstein ring:

$$\theta_E^2 = \frac{4GM}{c^2} \left(\frac{D_{\rm LS}}{D_{\rm S}D_{\rm L}}\right)$$

Magnification:

$$\mu = \frac{u^2 + 2}{2u\sqrt{u^2 + 4}} \pm \frac{1}{2} \qquad u = \beta/\theta_E$$
$$\mu_{1+2} = \frac{u^2 + 2}{u\sqrt{u^2 + 4}}$$
$$\mu_{1+2}(u = 1) = 1.34$$

![](_page_33_Figure_0.jpeg)

### Gravitational microlensing Optical depth to GL:

Probability p(A) that a star is magnified by a factor 1.34 or more

To first order, p(A) is independent of the mass of the deflectors:

For mass surface density  $\Sigma_M$  and lens mass M, the surface density of lenses  $\Sigma_L$  is

$$\Sigma_L = \Sigma_M / M$$

The Einstein ring of a single lens has area

$$A_E = \pi \theta_E^2 = \frac{4\pi GM}{c^2} \left(\frac{D_{\rm LS}}{D_{\rm S} D_{\rm L}}\right)$$

Total optical depth is then

$$\tau_L = A_E \Sigma_L = \Sigma_M \frac{4\pi G}{c^2} \left(\frac{D_{\rm LS}}{D_{\rm S} D_{\rm L}}\right)$$

**Optical depth:**  
$$\tau_L = A_E \Sigma_L = \Sigma_M \frac{4\pi G}{c^2} \left(\frac{D_{\rm LS}}{D_{\rm S} D_{\rm L}}\right)$$

If we are at the centre of the dark halo with mass  $\sim 4 \times 10^{11} M_{\odot}$  (as suggested by the Galactic rotation curve), then

$$\Sigma_M \approx 4 \times 10^{11} M_{\odot} / 4\pi \approx 3 \times 10^{10} M_{\odot} \mathrm{sr}^{-1}$$

For deflectors at  $D_L=10$  kpc, sources at  $D_S=50$  kpc (LMC)

$$\tau_L \approx 1.5 \times 10^{-6}$$

#### Optical depths for more realistic halo model

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

Paczynski (1986)

### Lensing by MACHOs in the Galactic halo

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Microlensing light curves

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

Solution degenerate in v,  $D_L$  and  $\theta_E(M)$ 

#### Characteristic achromatic light curve

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

FIG. 2.—Time variation of the amplification due to gravitational microlensing for events with the impact parameter  $d/R_0$  equal 0.1, 0.2, ..., 1.1, 1.2. The largest amplitude corresponds to the smallest impact parameter. The unit of time is given as  $t_0 \equiv R_0/v$ , where  $R_0$  is the radius of ringlike image formed when the source, the lensing mass, and the observer are perfectly aligned (see eq. [2] and [16]) and v is the relative tangential velocity of the lensing object.

Paczynski (1986)

# Finding MACHOs

- Now we know what to look for:
- Monitor at least a few million stars
- Look for achromatic, symmetric light curves

# Searching for MACHOs

- MACHO collaboration:
  - Monitoring of LMC and Galactic bulge, using 50 inch telescope at Mt Stromlo, Australia
  - **-** 8 million stars in LMC, 10 million in Bulge
- OGLE collaboration:
  - Using I m telescope at Las Campanas, also LMC + bulge
- EROS collaboration:
  - La Silla (bulge, LMC, SMC)

### Results

![](_page_42_Figure_1.jpeg)

Paczynski (1996)

### Results

- Many events have been found towards bulge (>100), relatively few towards LMC/SMC (~15)
- $\bullet$  Most likely masses 0.15 0.9  $M_{\odot}$
- Not enough MACHOs to account for mass of dark matter halo, at most ~20%
- The search for DM goes on..