Jan65 Nijmegen 2011



LOFAR: Pulsars and Transients

Ben Stappers / University of Manchester

on behalf of the LOFAR Pulsar Working Group, the TKP and the whole LOFAR team (Hessels, van Leeuwen, Kramer, Karastergiou, Alexov, Hassall, Noutsos, Kondratiev, Weltevrede, et al.)



LOFAR: LOw Frequency ARray

- * Distributed in NL & EU
- * 30 240 MHz
- * LBA & HBA
- > 30000 dipoles
- * 20 Core/18 NL/>10 EU
- 2.5km/100km/1000km







Transients Key Science Project



We will monitor entire visible sky ~daily to mJy level at 50/150 MHz

Localisation of transient sources to arcsec or better

Instant reporting of events

Transient buffer boards allow us to 'look back in time in other directions

All Sky Monitor

PIs: Fender, Wijers & Stappers



FoV & Observing Modes



Dedicated observing and commensurate

- First zenith monitoring couple months ago
- Observed for 24 hours to get a full scan
- One field has bright source 3C295 in it
- Single sub band at about 150 MHz
- 25 degrees FoV in single pointing
 Commissioning
- Follow up LIGO events
- Multiple (~10) observations of field of PSR B0329+54
- Observations of recent SS433 and Crab outbursts.





Transients Pipeline

Bell, Fender, Broderick, Swinbank, Rol, & TKP



LOFAR HBA detections (red) overlaid on WENSS

Calibration still being perfected

Why LOFAR for Pulsars/Fast Transients?

$v_{sky} \sim 10 - 300 \text{ MHz}$

Advantages

- Steep spectral indices?
- Only visible at low frequency?
- Low-DM sources distinguishable from RFI.
- Large field of view / dwell times (high F.O.M.).
- Respond to high-frequency triggers (DM delay).

Disadvantages

- Scattering v^{-4.4}
- Many dispersion trials (v⁻²).
- Lower effective time resolution.
- Ionosphere.

Why LOFAR for Pulsars/Fast Transients?

$v_{skv} \sim 10 - 300 \text{ MHz}$

Advantages

- Steep spectral indices?
- Only visible at low frequency?
- Low-DM fre More luminous, ble More luminous, ble different behaviour

Disadvantages

- Scattering v^{-4.4}
- Many dispersion trials (v⁻²).
- Lower effective time resolution.
- lonosphere.

• Respond to high-frequency triggers (DM delay).

Why LOFAR for Pulsars/Fast Transients?

$v_{sky} \sim 10 - 300 \text{ MHz}$

Advantages

- Steep spectral indices?
- Only visible at low frequency?

• Low-DM ble fre More luminous, ble More luminous, ble different behaviour

• Respond to high-frequency triggers (DM delay).

Disadvantages

- Scattering v^{-4.4}
- Many dispersion
 Many dispersion
 Propagation effects in
 Propagation effects in
 the ISM are stronger

Single Pulses, Timing & ISM

- Fundamentally linked to the complex, but vital to understand, emission mechanism.
- * Modulation effects like microstructure are deeper.
- Strong linear polarisation seen in single pulses
- Changes happen rapidly in this range
- * Spectral turnover -- correlates with emission properties?
- Can get single pulses from large number of MSPs (currently only a couple)!
- HBAs detect single pulses from more than 1/2 or all known pulsars in LBAs something like 1/3!
- Wide BW spectra, simultaneously and often to get any variability
- Monitor pulsars over wide frequency range to get changes in dispersion measure/ scattering - related to precision timing at high frequencies, absolute time alignment
- Can efficiently time many pulsars with multi-beaming, commensurate observing -- gives important links to high energy observations and GW observatories.

LOFAR Pulsar Surveys

- LOTAAS -- All Northern Sky Survey will find up to 1000 new pulsars
- Use > 100 Tied Array beams simultaneously.
- Will be so sensitive it will find entire local population (< 2kpc) allowing studies of luminosity function in detail
- Exotic objects like pulsar-pulsar and pulsar-BH binaries possible
- Sensitive enough to find first pulsars in external galaxies beyond MCs

van Leeuwen & Stappers (2010) <u>2009arXiv0910.5118V</u>:





Example profiles obtained with the HBAs cf. with EPN 21cm profiles

Simultaneous Imaging & Time Domain



Multi-beaming

HBA

LBA



Hessels, Hassall, Stappers & PWG

Crab Giant Pulses

Giant Pulse



LOFAR Pilot Pulsar Survey (LPPS)

Coenen & PWG

1.5

Phase B1839+09_L2010_22219_RSP0.sub0000

43210 Reduced χ^2

Re-detection J1841+0912

381.32469577 (ms)



~400 7-beam pointings > -35 deg DEC

Monday, September 19, 2011

hase

80604020 (

Reduced χ^2 0647_L2010_22219_RSP4.sub0000

RFI (DM = 0 cm - 3 pc)

Period - 619 2129



Achieved for both the HBAs and LBAs now. Good stability seen in both.

Hessels, Griessmeier & PWG

LOFAR Tied-Array Prep.Survey

Beam Layout

- Tied-array (coherent) beams (Superterp)
- Central Frequency of about 150 MHz
- 19 beams with full 48MHz and 1.3ms samp.
- 17 minutes per pointing (246GB)
- ~3.7 sq. deg. FoV per pointing
- ~200 pointings taken from May 11-15t
- Used CEP2 and the new Schedule
- Increase in sensitivity ~9xLPP
- Less affected by RFI?
- Data processing just started on CEP2 and H

Hessels, Alexov, Star

Monday, September 19, 2011





Redetection of B0450+55

2 Pulses of Best Profile	2 Pulses of Best Profile	2 Pulses of Best Profile	2 Pulses of Best Profile
44	- WAYWAY	anaratis betweended ber	- I may have been been
3P10 5 962	B0450+55_RSP11 _B0450+55 ChiSq = 1.04060	B0450+55_RSP12 _B0450+55 ChiSq = 7.53654	B0450+55_RSP13 B0450+55 ChiSq = 6.45091
	2 Purses of Best Poplie	2 Pulses of Best Profile	2 Pulses of Best Profile
P15 5 271	B0450+55_RSP16 _B0450+55 ChiSq = 109.41178	B0450+55_RSP17 _B0450+55 ChiSq = 1.20436	B0450+55_RSP18 _B0450+55 ChiSq = 15.49600
, i	2 Proves of Best Profes	2 Pulses of Bast Profile	2 Pulses of Best Profile
SP1 5 271	B0450+55_RSP2 B0450+55 ChiSq = 0.97250	B0450+55_RSP3 B0450+55 ChiSq = 4.78502	B0450+55_RSP4 B0450+55 ChiSq = 6.80403
Ŵ		2 Pulses of Best Profile	
SP6 80450155 457	B0450+55_RSP7 _B0450+55 ChiSq = 0.99235	B0450+55_RSP8 _B0450+55 ChiSq = 2.06787	B0450+55_RSP9 _B0450+55 ChiSq = 1.06497
1			

Online Coherent Dedispersion

In real time on BG/P.
Already improved to allow
5us time resoln.

• Up to 50 coherent dispersion "trial DMs" possible!

• "Baseband" recording also possible for poln. and other studies

PSR J0034-0534 with LOFAR



Hessels, Mol, Romein & PWG

Polarisation: PSR B0834+06

Sobey, Noutsos & PWG



Polarisation: B0329+54 Sobey, Noutsos & PWG

With calibration for single stations ok.
Can look at well known pulsars to compare
With B0329+54 get the correct RM
Evidence for quite some evoln. with freq.





How Low can you go?



72-78 MHz 66-72 MHz 60-66 MHz 54-60 MHz 48-54 MHz 42-48 MHz 36-42 MHz 30-36 MHz 28-34 MHz 22-28 MHz 16-22 MHz 10-16 MHz

- PSR B0809+74
- First LOFAR detection of pulsar to 16 MHz and perhaps below!
- We can already do better as can now form coherent sum of LBA superterp stations.
- Will soon try other pulsars too.

Stappers, Hassall & PWG

Anomalous intensive pulses Kondratiev, Bilous & PWG



First seen by Ulyanov et al at UTR2 - but had narrower band instrument

Simultaneous Multifrequency



- Observations of PSR B0809+74 Dec 2010
 - Some of the widest band simult. obs ever
 - Attempt to disentangle DM / Profile changes
 - Model the profile, otherwise can't get a single value of DM
 - Able to track completely components vital for magnetospheric and high precision timing study.
- Get a value for DM accurate to 1/10⁶
- R Both LBA and HBA sensitivity greatly improved since.



Dispersion vs Pulse Shape Changes Hassall, Stappers & PWG

Simultaneous observations of PSR B1133+16 with LOFAR & Lovell & Eff.

1.5×10⁻ 0 10^{-3} pulse periods Postfit Residual (sec) 0 5×10⁻⁴ 5×10⁻ .⊆ Residual 0 -5×10^{-4} 5×10⁻ -10^{-3} 1.5 2 2.5 Frequency (MHz) plk v.3.0 (G. Hobbs)

- Timing residuals generated in "usual" way
- * See strong deviations from a single DM fit
- * Not due to higher order DM effects, not simple power law
- Require instead to build a frequency dependent model



2

50 180 200 Pulse Phase (°)

Dispersion vs Pulse Shape Changes

Hassall, Stappers & PWG



- The model isn't perfect but greatly improves residuals and is consistent with single DM.
- * Can use this to constrain any deviations from a cold plasma dispersion law, including scattering, refraction, clumpiness, and numbers like emission measure and scattering meas.
- * Can also use this to learn a lot about emission in magnetosphere: super dispersion, absorption, refraction, emission heights, evolution of components ...
- Extending down from our limit here of about 40 MHz to 20 MHz will strongly improve constraints

Single Station Use

Karastergiou + ARTEMIS & PWG

- * Although sensitivity is 10% core it is still equivalent to 100 m dish!
- * Efficient rapid surveys of entire sky (8 beams X 4.5 sq deg X 6 MHz BW!)
- Can also use multiple single stations, incoherently or fly's eye
- Useful for fast transients like RRATs, intermittent pulsars, AXPs, scintillating sources, extrem nullers, double Pdot sources, new things...
- Frequent timing observations, High Energy, GWs, Glitches,



LOFAR Timeline

- Official opening was in June 2010
- Currently 28 NL and 6 Eu (4DE, UK, FR) stations complete
- + 2 More NL stations by year end + SE607
- Another 4-5 stations to come, mainly remote.
- Commissioning is ongoing for all modes until ~ 2012
- More EU stations to be rolled out / New stations/countries joining.
- Station roll out in NL mostly complete in 2011
- Some of the Key Science will begin early in 2012

Conclusions

 We are making excellent progress in commissioning the high time resolution, pulsar and transient modes of LOFAR

- We are already capable of taking data of high scientific quality and interest (see recent paper)
- There is still much more to come in terms of sensitivity with extended coherent addition and BW.
- Many important lessons learnt for the SKA
- 2011 is a very exciting year and 2012 will be even better.